arXiv:hep-th/0512175v4 1 May 2006

Large N vector quantum mechanics and bubbling supertube solutions

Yosuke Imamura[*)]

Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

We propose a large N vector quantum mechanics as the theory describing a D-particle probe in bubbling supertube solutions. We compute the effective action of this quantum mechanics and show that it coincides with the D-particle action in a certain decoupling limit, up to quadratic order in the velocity. The angular momentum of the D-particle, including the contribution of the Poynting vector, is reproduced as the vacuum expectation value of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)_R$ current.

§1. Introduction

Recently, many BPS solutions in various supergravity theories have been constructed for the purpose of obtaining new examples of AdS/CFT correspondence. Lin, Lunin and Maldacena1) constructed a large class of smooth solutions to type IIB supergravity and M-theory, which are called bubbling solutions. Each of them is characterized by a two-dimensional plane consisting of black and white regions, which is called a 'droplet.' In the type IIB case, these solutions are dual to a free fermion system, which describes the dynamics of the BPS sector of N=4 Yang-Mills theory in $\mathbf{S}^3$.1)–15) We can identify the droplet with the phase space structure of the fermion system. The M-theory bubbling solutions are constructed in Refs. 1) and 16), and it is shown that they are related to BPS sectors of several different gauge theories. Bubbling solutions in M-theory are also studied in Refs. 17)–19). Different droplets with the same asymptotic forms give different classical supergravity solutions with the same boundary conditions. The existence of many smooth solutions sharing the same asymptotic behavior sheds new light on the black hole information problem.20) Some generalizations of bubbling solutions are given in Refs. 21)–25).

Another major advance in the study of black holes is represented by the theoretical discovery of black rings.26) They are classical solutions in five-dimensional gravity with horizons of topology $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$. These solutions are important, because they constitute counterexamples to the conjecture of black hole uniqueness. Black rings were soon generalized to supersymmetric ones.27)–29) More general 1/2 BPS solutions in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ five-dimensional supergravity, which include supersymmetric black rings as special cases, were subsequently constructed in Refs. 30) and 31).

Based on these results, a new kind of bubbling solution, which resolves the singularity of the black ring solutions, is proposed in Refs. 32) and 33). In Ref. 32) the solutions are called "bubbling supertube solutions". Bubbling supertube solutions are the subject of this paper. Before explaining them, we need to understand the structure of the solutions constructed in Refs. 30) and 31).

---

*) E-mail: `imamura@hep-th.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp`

typeset using $\mathcal{PTP}$TEX.cls ⟨Ver.0.9⟩

Let us consider 5-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=1$ supergravity with n_v U(1) vector multiplets. The metric of the general 1/2 BPS solution has the form

$$ds_5^2 = -\frac{1}{Z^2}(dt+k)^2 + Z ds_4^2, \tag{1·1}$$

where ds_4^2 is a four-dimensional hyper Kähler base manifold. When the base manifold is of Gibbons-Hawking (GH) type, the solution can be explicitly represented by $2n_v+4$ harmonic functions.[34)] In this paper, we consider only the $n_v=2$ case with the specific Chern-Simons coefficient $C_{IJK}=|\epsilon_{IJK}|$. This theory is obtained by $\mathbf{T}^6$ compactification and an appropriate truncation of M-theory. The GH metric of the base manifold is determined by one of these harmonic functions, which is referred to as V in Refs. 34) and 32) and in this paper, and is given by

$$ds_4 = \frac{1}{V}(d\psi + A)^2 + V d\vec{y}^2. \tag{1·2}$$

The differential A is the magnetic dual of the function V satisfying $dA = *dV$, where $*$ is the Hodge dual in the flat 3-dimensional space parameterized by $\vec{y}=(y_1,y_2,y_3)$. For concreteness, we choose the function V for an n-center solution as

$$V = V_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{N_i}{4\pi|\vec{y}-\vec{y}_i|}. \tag{1·3}$$

When we deal with a metric in the form (1·2), we ordinarily assume that all the coefficients $N_i/4\pi$ and the constant part V_0 are non-negative in order to guarantee the positive definiteness of the hyper Kähler metric (1·2). In Refs. 32) and 33), however, it is shown that this restriction is in fact not necessary for the solution in Refs. 30) and 31). We may choose any harmonic function V of the form (1·3) as long as the coefficients of the poles are appropriately quantized.

Using such generalized GH base spaces, smooth solutions that resolve the singularities of supertube solutions are constructed in Refs. 32) and 33). In this paper, we call them 'bubbling supertube solutions', following Ref. 32). In these solutions, each supertube singularity in the original solutions is replaced by a pair of GH centers. Because of the NUT-charge conservation law, both positive and negative NUT charges are needed to construct resolved solutions, and for this reason, the harmonic function V inevitably takes positive and negative values depending on the coordinates. Despite the superficial singularity on the submanifold $V=0$, actually there are no singularities in either the metric or the gauge fields if other harmonic functions are chosen appropriately. Instead, the submanifold $V=0$ turns out to be ergospheres[33)] on which the world line of a stationary point particle is light-like. (We admit orbifold singularities at centers, because they are not singular in string theory and are harmless.)

By treating the coordinate ψ in (1·2) as the 11-th coordinate, these solutions can be regarded as classical solutions in $\mathcal{N}=2$ four-dimensional supergravity, which is the $\mathbf{T}^6$ compactification of type IIA string theory with a certain truncation. From this point of view, BPS particles in uncompactified four-dimensional spacetime can be regarded as D-branes wrapped on different holomorphic cycles in the $\mathbf{T}^6$. Such four-dimensional solutions has been constructed by Denef et al.$^{35)-37)}$ independently of the five-dimensional solutions. The relation between four-dimensional solutions and five-dimensional solutions is discussed in Ref. 39).

The distinguishing properties of the bubbling supertube solutions (or corresponding four-dimensional solutions) is that the particles$^{*)}$ in a system interact with one another through a non-trivial potential and form bound states. In a static bound state, the positions of particles are restricted by the so-called "bubble equation".$^{32),33)}$ Because the particles in this system are D-branes wrapped on internal cycles, it is naturally conjectured that the bound states can be studied by computing the potential energy with boundary states by using the relation $V \sim \int dt \langle B|e^{-tL_0}|B\rangle$. This, however, is not the case, because this computation takes account of only the linear part of the gravitational interaction and gives just a Newtonian potential. There is a well-known theorem (Ernshaw's theorem) which states that particles interacting through a Newtonian potential cannot form static stable bound states. This implies that the non-linear nature of gravity plays an important role in the formation of bound states.

The purpose of this paper is to seek a quantum mechanics that describes the dynamics of these particles. Unfortunately, we have not succeeded in constructing such a quantum mechanics for an entire system of bound particles. In this paper, we focus on one of the particles in the system and construct a quantum mechanics describing this particle. We treat the particle that we chose as a probe and the other particles as the background. Furthermore, we assume that the probe particle carries only D-particle charge for simplicity.

If a D-particle is placed at a generic point in a bubbling supertube solution, it will be caused to move by gravitational and RR forces. There are, however, loci on which D-particle can remain still. These stability loci are in fact the ergospheres mentioned above.$^{33)}$ The existence of such stability loci enables us to consider the theory on a probe D-particle in the backgrounds. As mentioned above, the non-linear nature of gravity is essential for the existence of D-particle stability loci. From the viewpoint of quantum mechanics, this implies that the 1-loop effect, which corresponds to the string cylinder amplitude and the exchange of free gravitons, is not sufficient to explain the stability of the D-particle. Actually, we show that the two-loop quantum correction plays an important role in the emergence of stable vacua.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows.

In the next section, we study the action of a D-particle in bubbling supertube solutions. We first restrict our attention to a special class of solutions in which the function V has only one positive pole and the other seven harmonic functions are constant. Although these solutions cannot be regarded as a resolved version of any singular solution, because of the absence of negative poles in V, they have the distinguishing feature of bubbling supertube solutions that the function V takes both positive and negative values if the constant part is negative.

---

*) Here we use the term "particles" in the four-dimensional sense. From the viewpoint of five-dimensional spacetime, it means two different kinds of objects: CG centers and rings.

This special solution is actually the supergravity description of coincident D6-branes in a constant B-field background. By quantizing open strings, we obtain supersymmetric U(1) gauge theory with N chiral multiplets, where N denotes the number of D6-branes. When N is large, the quantum mechanics becomes large N vector quantum mechanics. In §3 we find nice agreement between the D-particle action and the effective action of this quantum mechanics in a certain decoupling limit. We also find that the angular momentum of fluxes induced by the probe is reproduced as a quantum correction to the $\mathrm{SU}(2)_R$ current.

In §4 we investigate the generalization to multicenter solutions which include both positive and negative GH centers. We propose a quantum mechanics which reproduces the D-particle action as the effective action. Finally, we conclude in §5.

We use the following conventions in this paper.

- $2\pi\alpha'^{1/2} = 1$ is chosen to be our unit of length. With this convention, the string tension is 2π, and the mass and the charge of a D-particle are $2\pi/g_{\rm str}$ and 2π, respectively.
- We normalize gauge fields in such a way that fluxes obtained by integration over closed surfaces are quantized as integers.
- The period of the $\mathbf{S}^1$ coordinate ψ on the GH base space (1·2) is 1. In this case, NUT charges N_i in (1·3) must be integers.

Note Added:

After submitting this paper to the arXiv, I was informed of Ref. 38), in which a quantum mechanical description for BPS black hole bound states in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity is studied. In particular, the coincidence of the quantum moduli-space of the quantum mechanical system and the stability loci for particles in supergravity classical solutions is demonstrated there for sets of charged particles that are more general than those we consider in this paper. In this paper, we show that not only the stability loci but also the D-particle potential in a specific class of solutions is reproduced as the effective potential of the quantum mechanics in a certain decoupling limit.

§2. D-particle probe in bubbling supertube solutions

2.1. 1/2 *BPS solutions*

The most general 1/2 BPS solutions in 5-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity with GH base spaces are constructed in Ref. 34). They are described with eight harmonic functions, V, K^I, L_I, M, associated with the NUT charge, three M5 charges, three M2 charges, and the KK momentum, respectively. It is convenient for our purposes to rewrite the solutions in terms of type IIA string language. The 11-dimensional metric ds_{11}^2 and the 3-form potential A_3 are related to the string metric ds_{10}^2, the dilaton ϕ, the NS 2-form B_2, the RR 1-form C_1 and the RR 3-form C_3 as

$$ds_{11}^2 = e^{-(2/3)\phi} ds_{10}^2 + e^{(4/3)\phi}(d\psi + C_1)^2, \quad A_3 = C_3 + B_2 \wedge (d\psi + C_1). \qquad (2\cdot1)$$

According to these relations, the solutions in Ref. 34) can be rewritten as

$$ds_{10}^2 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{Q}}(dt+\omega)^2 + \sqrt{Q}d\vec{y}^2 + \sum_{I=1}^{3}\frac{\sqrt{Q}}{Z_I V}(dz_{2I-1}^2 + dz_{2I}^2), \tag{2·2}$$

$$C_1 = A - \frac{V^2\mu}{Q}(dt+\omega), \tag{2·3}$$

$$C_3 = \sum_{I=1}^{3}\left[\frac{V}{Q}\left(\mu K^I - \frac{Z^3}{Z_I}\right)(dt+\omega) + \xi^I\right]\wedge dz_{2I-1}\wedge dz_{2I}, \tag{2·4}$$

$$B_2 = \sum_{I=1}^{3}\left(\frac{K^I}{V} - \frac{\mu}{Z_I}\right) dz_{2I-1}\wedge dz_{2I}, \tag{2·5}$$

$$e^{\phi} = \frac{Q^{3/4}}{(ZV)^{3/2}}, \tag{2·6}$$

where z_k denotes coordinates in $\mathbf{T}^6$. The quantities Z_I, μ and Q are rational functions of the harmonic functions defined by

$$Z_I = L_I + \frac{1}{2}C_{IJK}\frac{K^J K^K}{V}, \tag{2·7}$$

$$\mu = M + \frac{K^I L_I}{2V} + \frac{K^1K^2K^3}{V^2}, \tag{2·8}$$

$$Q = Z^3 V - \mu^2 V^2, \tag{2·9}$$

and Z is the geometric average $Z = (Z_1Z_2Z_3)^{1/3}$. The differentials ω and ξ^I are obtained by solving certain linear differential equations.[32)–34)]

For simplicity, let us first consider solutions in which the harmonic function V has only one positive pole and the other harmonic functions are constant. We study general solutions in §4. The constant M must be zero for the solution to be regular. To fix the other constants, K^I and L_I, we impose the boundary conditions

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} Q = 1, \quad \lim_{r\to\infty} VZ_I = g_{\rm str}^{-2/3}, \tag{2·10}$$

where $r \equiv |\vec{y}|$. These two imply that the four-dimensional part of the metric becomes flat Minkowski, $-dt^2 + d\vec{y}^2$, and e^{ϕ} goes to $g_{\rm str}$ at infinity. The following choice of the harmonic functions satisfies these conditions:

$$V = \frac{v_0}{g_{\rm str}} + \frac{N}{4\pi r}, \tag{2·11}$$

$$K^I = g_{\rm str}^{-1/3}k^I, \quad L_I = \frac{g_{\rm str}^{1/3}}{v_0}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}C_{IJK}k^Jk^K\right), \quad M = 0, \tag{2·12}$$

where v_0 and k^I are parameters satisfying

$$v_0^2 = 1 - \frac{1}{4}(k_1 + k_2 + k_3 - k_1k_2k_3)^2. \tag{2·13}$$

With this choice of the functions, the asymptotic form of the metric is

$$ds_{10}^2(r \to \infty) = -dt^2 + d\vec{y}^2 + g_{\rm str}^{2/3} dz_i^2. \tag{2·14}$$

We assume that the size of the $\mathbf{T}^6$, which is determined by the periods of the coordinates z_k, is much larger than the string scale, in order to make the wrapped D6-branes, which we treat as a static background, sufficiently heavy.

In fact, the harmonic functions (2·11) and (2·12) give the supergravity description of N coincident D6-branes in a constant B-field. The asymptotic value of the B-field is

$$B_2(r \to \infty) = -\sum_{I=1}^{3} b_I e^{2I-1} \wedge e^{2I}, \tag{2·15}$$

where $e^k = g_{\rm str}^{1/3} dz_k$ is the vielbein in the $\mathbf{T}^6$, and the three parameters b_I are related to v_0 and k_I as

$$b_I = \frac{1}{2v_0}(k_1 + k_2 + k_3 - k_1 k_2 k_3 - 2k_I). \tag{2·16}$$

In order to make the definition of the parameter b_I unambiguous, we have to specify the gauge choice for B_2. One way to do this is to specify the gauge field F_2 on the D6-branes. In the five-dimensional solutions we can define the gauge field on the D6-branes by the coupling with an M2-brane wrapped on a non-compact 2-cycle, and we can show that $F_2 = 0$ for the classical solution given by (2·11) and (2·12).

The relations (2·13) and (2·16) are solved with respect to k_I and v_0 to give the solution

$$v_0 = \sin\xi, \quad k_I = \frac{\cos(\beta_I + \xi)}{\cos\beta_I}, \tag{2·17}$$

where the angles β_I and ξ are defined by

$$\beta_I = \tan^{-1} b_I, \quad \xi = \frac{\pi}{2} - \beta_1 - \beta_2 - \beta_3. \tag{2·18}$$

For definiteness, we assume $0 \leq \beta_I \leq \pi/2$.

2.2. *D-particle in the background*

Let us expand the D-particle effective action in bubbling supertube solutions as

$$L_{\rm D0} = L_0 + L_1 + L_2 + \cdots, \tag{2·19}$$

where the subscripts represent the power of the velocity $\dot{y}^i$ in each term. By substituting this solution into the DBI and CS actions, we obtain

$$-V_{\rm D0} = L_0 = -2\pi\left(\frac{\sqrt{-g_{tt}}}{e^\phi} + C_t\right) = \frac{2\pi V^2}{\sqrt{V^3 Z^3 + V^2\mu}}, \tag{2·20}$$

$$L_1 = -2\pi C_i \dot{y}^i = -2\pi A_i \dot{y}^i, \tag{2·21}$$

$$L_2 = 2\pi \frac{g_{ij}}{2e^\phi\sqrt{-g_{tt}}} \dot{y}^i \dot{y}^j = \pi (VZ)^{3/2} |\dot{y}^m|^2. \tag{2·22}$$

Let us consider the potential term $V_{\mathrm{D0}} \equiv -L_0$ first. Because VZ and $V^2\mu$ are positive and regular for regular solutions, the minima of this potential are given by $V = 0$. From the viewpoint of M-theory, the condition $V = 0$ gives ergospheres, which are defined as submanifolds on which the world-line of a stationary point particle is light-like. This can be easily confirmed by considering the eleven-dimensional line element for a stationary particle,

$$ds_{11}^2 = -e^{-(2/3)\phi}\frac{dt^2}{\sqrt{Q}} + e^{(4/3)\phi}(C_t dt)^2 = -\frac{1}{Z^2}dt^2 = -\frac{V^2}{(ZV)^2}dt^2. \qquad (2{\cdot}23)$$

Because $(VZ)^2$ is positive definite and finite, ergospheres are given by $V = 0$.

For simplicity, let us restrict our attention to single-center solutions described by the harmonic functions (2·11) and (2·12). The potential V_{D0} for these single-center solutions depends on the parameters g_{str}, N and β_I, and the radial coordinate r. It is invariant under the replacement $(r, N) \to (\alpha r, \alpha N)$. Under another replacement $(g_{\mathrm{str}}, N) \to (\alpha g_{\mathrm{str}}, \alpha^{-1}N)$, the potential is rescaled as $V_{\mathrm{D0}} \to \alpha^{-1}V_{\mathrm{D0}}$. These two facts partially determine the functional form of the potential as

$$V_{\mathrm{D0}} = \frac{1}{g_{\mathrm{str}}} f\left(\frac{N g_{\mathrm{str}}}{r}, \beta_I\right). \qquad (2{\cdot}24)$$

The potential V_{D0} depends on the three angles β_I through the four parameters v_0 and k_I, which are related to each other as in (2·13). If this constraint were absent and v_0 and k_I were four independent parameters, the potential would be rescaled as $V_{\mathrm{D0}} \to \alpha V_{\mathrm{D0}}$ through the replacement $(v_0, g_{\mathrm{str}}) \to (\alpha v_0, \alpha g_{\mathrm{str}})$. This implies that the potential can be written in the form

$$V_{\mathrm{D0}} = \frac{v_0^2}{g_{\mathrm{str}}} g(\rho, k_I(v_0, \beta_{I'})), \quad \rho = \frac{v_0 r}{g_{\mathrm{str}} N}. \qquad (2{\cdot}25)$$

Instead of the three angles β_I $(I = 1, 2, 3)$, we choose $v_0 = \sin\xi$ and $\beta_{I'}$ $(I' = 1, 2)$ as the three independent variables. In the next section, we compare this potential with the effective potential of a certain supersymmetric quantum mechanics. We determine the relation between the parameters g_{str}, N and v_0 and a coupling constant, the number of chiral multiplets, and FI parameter, respectively. However, there are no quantities that correspond to the $\beta_{I'}$. We decouple these unwanted parameters by taking the small ξ limit as follows. (It may be possible to introduce extra parameters corresponding to $\beta_{I'}$ in our quantum mechanics. However, we do not discuss this possibility here.) Let us expand the function g in (2·25) with respect to v_0 as

$$g(\rho, k_I(v_0, \beta_{I'})) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n(\rho, \beta_{I'}) v_0^n. \qquad (2{\cdot}26)$$

Because the derivative of k_I with respect to β_I produces an extra factor of v_0,

$$\frac{\partial k_I}{\partial \beta_I} = -\frac{v_0}{\cos^2\beta_I}, \qquad (2{\cdot}27)$$

the $\beta_{I'}$ dependent terms in g have at least one factor of v_0, and the leading term, g_0, on the right-hand side of (2·26) is independent of $\beta_{I'}$. In this paper, we focus only on the leading term, g_0, in the v_0 expansion. In other words, we take the following small ξ limit in order to decouple the unwanted parameters $\beta_{I'}$:

$$v_0 = \sin\xi \to 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \rho = \frac{v_0 r}{g_{\rm str} N} \quad \text{fixed.} \tag{2·28}$$

In this limit, both the terms $\sqrt{V^3 Z^3}$ and $V^2\mu$ in the denominator of the right-hand side of (2·20) approach $1/g_{\rm str}$, and the leading term of the D-particle potential in the ξ expansion is

$$V_{\rm D0}(r) = \frac{2\pi g_{\rm str}}{2} V^2 = \frac{2\pi}{2 g_{\rm str}} \left(\xi + \frac{N g_{\rm str}}{4\pi r}\right)^2. \tag{2·29}$$

Let us take the same limit for the velocity dependent terms L_1 and L_2. The term L_1 is linear in the velocity, and it represents the Lorentz force due to the background RR 1-form potential. A_i in (2·21) is the vector potential for a monopole in the $\vec{y}$ space. The monopole is located at $\vec{y} = 0$, and its charge is N. This term is, in a sense, topological, and its form does not change in the limit (2·28). The coefficient $(VZ)^{3/2}$ of the kinetic term L_2 in (2·22) becomes the constant $1/g_{\rm str}$ in the small ξ limit.

Summing L_0, L_1 and L_2, we obtain the D-particle effective Lagrangian in the small ξ limit,

$$L_{\rm D0} = \frac{2\pi}{2 g_{\rm str}} |\dot{\vec{y}}|^2 - 2\pi A_i \dot{y}^i - \frac{2\pi g_{\rm str}}{2} V^2, \tag{2·30}$$

up to $\mathcal{O}(\dot{y}^3)$.

§3. Large *N* vector quantum mechanics

3.1. *Lagrangian*

To obtain the theory on a D-particle probe, we treat a classical solution as a D-brane system consisting of background D6-branes and a probe D-particle in a constant B-field, and quantize open strings in it. This D0-D6 system becomes BPS when $\xi = 0$.[40)–44)]

Let us assume that N D6-branes are located at the center, $\vec{y} = 0$ in the transverse space. One U(1) vector multiplet and three neutral chiral multiplets arise in D0-D0 string modes. The vector multiplet $\mathcal{V}$ consists of a (non-dynamical) gauge field, A_t, three scalar fields, $\vec{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$, and a two-component fermion, χ. We ignore the neutral chiral multiplets, because they decouple in the U(1) case. As the lowest modes in D0-D6 strings, N charged chiral multiplets $\mathit{\Phi}_\alpha$ ($\alpha = 1, \ldots, N$) arise. Let ϕ_α and ψ_α denote the complex bosons and two-component fermions in $\mathit{\Phi}_\alpha$. These fields carry the same U(1) charges, +1. Their masses, obtained through the quantization of open strings, are[41),43),44)]

$$m_f^2 = (2\pi r)^2, \quad m_b^2 = 2\pi\xi + (2\pi r)^2, \tag{3·1}$$

where r is the distance between the D-particle and the D6-branes. These masses become equal on the supersymmetric locus $\xi = 0$ in the parameter space.

The tree-level Lagrangian for these multiplets, which is obtained through the dimensional reduction of the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Lagrangian, is

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\rm tree} &= \frac{1}{g_{\rm qm}^2}\left[\left(\int d^2\theta W^2 + {\rm c.c.}\right) + \int d^4\theta \zeta \mathcal{V} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \int d^4\theta \varPhi_\alpha^* e^{\mathcal{V}} \varPhi_\alpha\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{g_{\rm qm}^2}\Big[\frac{1}{2}(\partial_t \vec{a})^2 + \chi^\dagger \partial_t \chi - \frac{1}{2} D^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\Big(|D_t\phi_\alpha|^2 - \vec{a}^2|\phi_\alpha|^2 + \psi_\alpha^\dagger D_t \psi_\alpha \\ &\qquad + \psi_\alpha^\dagger \vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{a}\psi_\alpha + \phi_\alpha^\dagger \chi \psi_\alpha + \phi_\alpha \chi^\dagger \psi_\alpha^\dagger\Big)\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{3·2}$$

where $\vec{\sigma} = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)$ are the Pauli matrices, and D is the auxiliary field in the vector multiplet. The equation of motion for the auxiliary field has already been solved in the right-most expression in (3·2), and D in (3·2) is given by

$$D = \zeta + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} |\phi_\alpha|^2. \tag{3·3}$$

The Lagrangian (3·2) is invariant with respect to an $\mathrm{SU}(2)_R$ transforming $\vec{a}$, χ, and ψ_α as **3**, **2**, and **2**, respectively. There is no superpotential because all the chiral multiplets carry the same charge. The classical masses of the bosons ϕ_α and fermions ψ_α read off from the Lagrangian are

$$m_\psi^2 = |\vec{a}|^2, \quad m_\phi^2 = |\vec{a}|^2 + \zeta. \tag{3·4}$$

The relation between the coupling constants $g_{\rm qm}$ and $g_{\rm str}$ is determined by comparing the DBI action of the D-particle and the kinetic term in (3·2). We also obtain other relations from comparison of (3·1) and (3·4). Specifically, we have

$$g_{\rm qm}^2 = 2\pi g_{\rm str}, \quad \vec{a} = 2\pi\vec{y}, \quad \zeta = 2\pi\xi, \tag{3·5}$$

where $\vec{y}$ is the position of the probe D-particle.

The classical supersymmetric vacuum conditions are

$$\vec{a}|\phi_\alpha| = 0, \quad D = 0. \tag{3·6}$$

When $\zeta < 0$, the chiral multiplets acquire the non-vanishing vacuum expectation value $|\phi_\alpha| = \sqrt{|\zeta|}$, and we have $\vec{a} = 0$. Because the overall phase of ϕ_α is a gauge degree of freedom, the moduli space is $\mathbf{CP}^{N-1}$. When $\zeta = 0$, all the ϕ_α must be zero, and the moduli space is $\mathbf{R}^3$ parameterized by $\vec{a}$. When $\zeta > 0$, there is no supersymmetric vacuum.

The quantum mechanics represented by the Lagrangian in (3·2) was first suggested in Ref. 43) in connection to the D0-D6 system, and the relation between its classical vacuum structure and the behavior of D0-D6 system was also discussed in Ref. 43). In what follows, we see that quantum corrections in our quantum mechanics reproduce the action of a D-particle in the supergravity solutions.

3.2. *One-loop and two-loop corrections*

In order to demonstrate the validity of the treatment of a D-particle as a probe, we assume that the number N of D6-branes is much larger than 1, the number of the probe D-particle, and study only the leading term of the $1/N$ expansion. More precisely, we take the following large N limit:

$$N \to \infty, \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda \equiv N g_{\text{qm}}^2 \quad \text{fixed.} \tag{3·7}$$

As is easily checked with the Feynman rules obtained from the Lagrangian (3·2), no diagrams containing $\vec{a}$ or χ as internal lines appear in the leading correction of the $1/N$ expansion. This implies that these fields behave like classical external fields in the large N limit. We define the effective action as a functional of the classical external fields $\vec{a}$ and χ. The fermion χ is set to zero in our analysis.

Corresponding to (2·28) on the supergravity side, we take the small ζ limit

$$\frac{\zeta}{|\vec{a}|^2} \to 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{\lambda}{\zeta|\vec{a}|} \quad \text{fixed,} \tag{3·8}$$

in addition to the large N limit given in (3·7). As we show below, the effective potential is two-loop exact in this small ζ limit. It is known that in the context of the M(atrix) theory, the leading and sub-leading potential of the D0-D6 system can be reproduced as 1-loop[45)–49)] and two-loop[50),51)] corrections, respectively. In this section, we confirm that the quantum mechanics proposed above also reproduces the D-particle effective action given in §2.

Let us decompose the effective potential $V_{\text{eff}}(\vec{a})$ into three parts V_{tree}, V_f and V_b. V_{tree} is the tree-level potential:

$$V_{\text{tree}}(\vec{a}) = \frac{1}{2g_{\text{qm}}^2}\zeta^2. \tag{3·9}$$

We set $\phi_\alpha = 0$, because ϕ_α cannot acquire a non-vanishing vacuum expectation value, guaranteed by Coleman's theorem. V_f represents quantum correction, including fermions, ψ_α. At leading order in the $1/N$ expansion of the effective action, the only diagram, including fermions ψ_α is the 1-loop diagram which gives the contribution

$$V_f(\vec{a}) = -N\int\frac{dk}{2\pi}\log(k^2+m_\psi^2) = -N\left(m_\psi + \frac{2}{\pi}\Lambda(\log\Lambda - 1)\right), \tag{3·10}$$

where Λ is a momentum cut off. The rest of the quantum corrections, which are collectively denoted by V_b, consist of the contribution of the scalar fields ϕ_α. Although there are an infinite number of loop diagram of scalar fields ϕ_α, the large N assumption makes it quite easy to compute them. The loop momentum integrals in any multi-loop diagrams are factorized and are easily carried out. For example, the only two-loop diagram is the "8"-shaped diagram, which is essentially the square of a one-loop diagram. The one-loop and two-loop contributions to V_b are given by

$$V_{b(1-loop)}(\vec{a}) = N\int\frac{dk}{2\pi}\log(k^2+m_\phi^2) = N\left(m_\phi + \frac{2}{\pi}\Lambda(\log\Lambda - 1)\right), \tag{3·11}$$

$$V_{b(2-loop)}(\vec{a}) = \frac{g_{\text{qm}}^2}{2}\left(N\int\frac{dk}{2\pi}\frac{1}{k^2+m_\phi^2}\right)^2 = \frac{g_{\text{qm}}^2 N^2}{8m_\phi^2}. \tag{3·12}$$

Because of the supersymmetry, the divergent terms in $V_{b(1-loop)}$ and V_f cancel. Summing the contributions up to the two-loop order, we obtain

$$V_{\text{eff}}(\vec{a}) = V_{\text{tree}}(\vec{a}) + V_f(\vec{a}) + V_b(\vec{a}) = \frac{N}{2\lambda}\left(\zeta + \frac{\lambda}{2|\vec{a}|}\right)^2 . \tag{3·13}$$

In the small ζ limit, the two-loop effective potential obtained above is exact, and there is no higher-loop contribution to V_{eff}. This is shown as follows. Because V_f is one-loop exact in the large N limit, the potential higher-loop contributions are multi-loop diagrams of the scalar fields ϕ_α. They depend on ζ and $|\vec{a}|$ only through the bare scalar mass $m_\phi = \sqrt{|\vec{a}|^2 + \zeta}$. From dimensional analysis, it is found that the L-loop contribution is λ^L / m_ϕ^{3L}, up to a numerical constant. This is expanded with respect to ζ as

$$V_{b(L-loop)} = \sum_{P=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{L,P}}{g_{\text{qm}}^2}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\zeta|\vec{a}|}\right)^L \left(\frac{\zeta}{|\vec{a}|^2}\right)^{P+L} , \tag{3·14}$$

where $c_{L,P}$ in the equation represents numerical coefficients obtained in the loop calculation. From this expression, it is apparent that any diagrams with more than 3 loops give terms of higher order in $\zeta/|\vec{a}|^2$, which should be ignored in the small ζ limit. Thus, the effective potential (3·13) is exact in the large N, small ζ limit.

If we rewrite the effective potential (3·13) in terms of variables on the supergravity side according to the parameter correspondence (3·5), we find it coincides with the D-particle potential (2·29).

It is useful to note that in the large N, small ζ limit, the relation

$$V_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2g_{\text{qm}}^2}\langle D\rangle^2 \tag{3·15}$$

holds, where $\langle D\rangle$ is the vacuum expectation value of the auxiliary field (3·3), which is one-loop exact in the large N, small ζ limit. The following relation, somewhat simpler than (3·15), also holds:

$$V = \frac{\langle D\rangle}{g_{\text{qm}}^2}. \tag{3·16}$$

To this point, we have focused on the effective potential. To complete the comparison between the D-particle action (2·19) and the effective action of our quantum mechanics, let us check the coincidence of the velocity dependent terms L_2 and L_1.

The fermion one-loop correction to the two-point function takes the form $\Gamma^{(2)} = \delta a_i(p) M_{ij} \delta a_j(-p)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} M_{ij} &= -N \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \operatorname{tr}\left(\sigma_i \frac{i}{k + p/2 + i\vec{a}\cdot\vec{\sigma}} \sigma_j \frac{i}{k - p/2 + i\vec{a}\cdot\vec{\sigma}}\right) \\ &= -\frac{\partial^2 V_f}{\partial a_i \partial a_j} + N\frac{\epsilon_{ijk}a_k}{2m_f^3}p - N\frac{a^2\delta_{ij} - a_i a_j}{4m_f^5}p^2 + \mathcal{O}(p^3). \end{aligned} \tag{3·17}$$

The first term here is the second derivative of $-V_f(\vec{a})$ and is independent of p. The second term in (3·17) is linear in p. This term implies the existence of the parity-violating term in the effective action given by

$$\mathit{\Gamma} = \int \frac{N}{2m_f^3}\epsilon_{ijk}a_i\delta a_j\partial_t\delta a_k dt = 2\pi N\int d\vec{a}\cdot\vec{A}, \qquad (3{\cdot}18)$$

where $\vec{A}$ is the monopole potential in the $\vec{a}$ space, which is given by

$$\vec{A} \sim \frac{1}{4\pi|\vec{a}|^3}\vec{a}\times\delta\vec{a}, \qquad (3{\cdot}19)$$

in the vicinity of $\vec{a}$. This reproduces the Lorentz force term L_1. The appearance of this term in the one-loop correction is also found in Ref. 52) in the context of M(atrix) theory.

The third term in (3·17) yields a wave function renormalization of order $\lambda/|\vec{a}|^3$. The loop diagrams of the scalar fields ϕ_α also yield wave function renormalization of the same order of magnitude. These corrections vanish in the small ζ limit (3·8), and the kinetic term of $\vec{a}$ is not corrected in this limit. This is consistent with the D-particle kinetic term with a constant coefficient appearing in (2·30).

We have thus confirmed that the quantum mechanics represented by (3·2) reproduces the D-particle action (2·30) as the effective action. Before ending this section, we give one more example of the correspondence between a classical quantity in the supergravity and a loop correction in our quantum mechanics. Let us consider the $\mathrm{SU}(2)_R$ symmetry, which rotates the $\vec{a}$ space. It transforms the fermions χ and ψ_α as doublets, and the current is

$$\vec{j} = \frac{1}{g_{\rm qm}^2}(\vec{a}\times\partial_t\vec{a} + \chi^\dagger\vec{\sigma}\chi + \psi_\alpha^\dagger\vec{\sigma}\psi_\alpha). \qquad (3{\cdot}20)$$

Because we treat $\vec{a}$ and χ as background classical fields describing the classical motion of the D-particle, the first two terms just give the orbital angular momentum of the D-particle. In addition, the third term has a non-vanishing vacuum expectation value, due to the fermion one-loop correction:

$$\langle\vec{j}\rangle = N\int\frac{dk}{2\pi}\,\mathrm{tr}\left(\sigma_z\frac{i}{k+i\vec{a}\cdot\vec{\sigma}}\right) = N\frac{\vec{a}}{|\vec{a}|}. \qquad (3{\cdot}21)$$

This should also be identified with the angular momentum of the probe D-particle. It is well known that systems consisting of mutually non-local charges can have non-vanishing angular momenta, due to the non-vanishing Poynting vector. In our case, it can be evaluated, for example, by considering the asymptotic behavior of the differential ω perturbed by the probe D-particle. We obtain

$$\vec{J} = N\frac{\vec{y}}{r}, \qquad (3{\cdot}22)$$

and this coincides with (3·21).

§4. Generalization to multicenter solutions

To this point, we have only treated single center solutions and the quantum mechanics with N identical chiral multiplets. As demonstrated below, however, it is possible to generalize this quantum mechanics so that its effective action reproduces the D-particle effective action in arbitrary bubbling solutions.

In the previous section, we introduced the parameters β_I as those determining the background B-field. There, the lower-dimensional brane charge dissolved in D6-branes is induced by the Chern-Simons term in the D6-brane action. This, however, cannot be done in general cases, in which both D6-branes and anti-D6-branes with different lower dimensional brane charges exist. In such cases, it is more convenient to regard the parameters β_I as that determining the gauge fields on D6-branes, not the background. For this reason, we perform a B-field gauge transformation so that the asymptotic value of the B-field vanishes. For the bubbling solutions, B-field transformations amount to the following transformations of the harmonic functions:[32),34)]

$$\begin{aligned}
&K^I \to K^I + c^I V,\\
&L_I \to L_I - C_{IJK}c^J K^K - \frac{1}{2}C_{IJK}c^J c^K V,\\
&M \to M - \frac{1}{2}c^I L_I + \frac{1}{12}C_{IJK}(Vc^I c^J c^K + 3c^I c^J K^K).
\end{aligned} \tag{4·1}$$

To make the asymptotic value of B_2 vanish, we should carry out this transformation with parameters $c^I = g_{\rm str}^{2/3} b_I$. Doing so, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
V &= \frac{1}{g_{\rm str}}\left(\sin\xi + \frac{N g_{\rm str}}{4\pi r}\right),\\
K^I &= \frac{1}{g_{\rm str}^{1/3}}\left(\cos\xi + b_I\frac{N g_{\rm str}}{4\pi r}\right),\\
L_I &= g_{\rm str}^{1/3}\left(\sin\xi - \frac{1}{2}C_{IJK}b_J b_K\frac{N g_{\rm str}}{4\pi r}\right),\\
M &= -\frac{g_{\rm str}}{2}\left(\cos\xi - b_1 b_2 b_3\frac{N g_{\rm str}}{4\pi r}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4·2}$$

In this gauge, the constant parts of the harmonic functions depend only on ξ, while the terms proportional to $1/r$ contain b_I separately. Here, let us treat the parameters ξ and β_I as independent. Then we regard β_I as parameters for the D6-branes and ξ as that for the background. The relation (2·17) among ξ and β_I is obtained as the bubble equation[32),33)] for single center solutions.

Because the parameters β_I are contained only in the pole terms, the solution can easily be generalized to n-center solutions by superposing single-center solutions as follows:

$$V = \frac{1}{g_{\rm str}}\left(\sin\xi + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{N_i g_{\rm str}}{4\pi|\vec{y}-\vec{y}_i|}\right),$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
K^I &= \frac{1}{g_{\rm str}^{1/3}}\left(\cos\xi+\sum_{i=1}^n b_I^i\frac{N_i g_{\rm str}}{4\pi|\vec{y}-\vec{y}_i|}\right),\\
L_I &= g_{\rm str}^{1/3}\left(\sin\xi-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n C_{IJK}b_J^i b_K^i\frac{N_i g_{\rm str}}{4\pi|\vec{y}-\vec{y}_i|}\right),\\
M &= -\frac{g_{\rm str}}{2}\left(\cos\xi-\sum_{i=1}^n b_1^i b_2^i b_3^i\frac{N_i g_{\rm str}}{4\pi|\vec{y}-\vec{y}_i|}\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4·3}
$$

We assign different β_I^i to each pole labeled by $i=1,\ldots,n$. Substituting these harmonic functions into the regularity condition $\mu(\vec{y}_i)=0$, we obtain the bubble equation

$$
\frac{\sin(\xi_i-\xi)}{\cos\beta_1^i\cos\beta_2^i\cos\beta_3^i}+\sum_{j\neq i}(b_1^i-b_1^j)(b_2^i-b_2^j)(b_3^i-b_3^j)\frac{N_j g_{\rm str}}{4\pi|\vec{y}_i-\vec{y}_j|}=0,
\tag{4·4}
$$

where we define ξ_i for each GH center by

$$
\xi_i=\frac{\pi}{2}-\beta_1^i+\beta_2^i+\beta_3^i.
\tag{4·5}
$$

It is worth noting that the vacuum condition $V=0$ is in fact a special case of the bubble equation. Indeed, if we use the index $i=0$ for the probe D-particle, we obtain $V=0$ as the bubble equation in the limit $\beta_I^0\to\pi/2$.

We assume that all ξ_i are of the same order as ξ, and take the following small ξ limit:

$$
\xi\to 0,\quad \text{with}\quad \frac{\xi_i}{\xi},\quad \frac{\xi\vec{y}_i}{N_i g_{\rm str}}\quad \text{fixed.}
\tag{4·6}
$$

In this small ξ limit, the D-particle effective action is given by (2·30), with V and A replaced by the harmonic function in (4·3) and its magnetic dual, respectively. This effective Lagrangian does not depend on ξ^i. In the small ξ limit (4·6), we can always tune these irrelevant parameters ξ^i so that the bubble equation (4·4) holds.

Each GH center labeled by the index i in (4·3) carries a NUT charge N_i. This charge represents the number of corresponding (anti-)D6-branes. Because each elementary (anti-)D6-brane gives one chiral multiplet with charge $+1$ (-1), it is more convenient to label them one by one when we investigate dual quantum mechanics. We use the index α for this labeling and write the harmonic function V as

$$
V=\frac{\xi}{g_{\rm str}}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^N\frac{e_\alpha}{4\pi|\vec{y}-\vec{y}_\alpha|},\quad N=\sum_{i=1}^n|N_i|,
\tag{4·7}
$$

where $\vec{y}_\alpha$ and $e_\alpha=\pm1$ are the position and charge of each (anti-)D6-brane. We propose the quantum mechanics consisting of a U(1) vector multiplet $\mathcal{V}=(A_t,\vec{a},\chi)$ and N charged chiral multiplets $\varPhi_\alpha=(\phi_\alpha,\psi_\alpha)$ with masses

$$
\vec{m}_\alpha=2\pi\vec{y}_\alpha
\tag{4·8}
$$

and charges e_α as the theory probing the bubbling solution. The Lagrangian for this quantum mechanics is

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\rm tree} &= \frac{1}{g_{\rm qm}^2}\left[\left(\int d^2\theta W^2 + {\rm c.c.}\right) + \int d^4\theta\zeta\mathcal{V} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\int d^4\theta \varPhi_\alpha^* e^{e_\alpha(\mathcal{V}-\theta^\dagger\vec{a}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\theta)}\varPhi_\alpha\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{g_{\rm qm}^2}\Big[\frac{1}{2}(\partial_t\vec{a})^2 + \chi^\dagger\partial_t\chi - \frac{1}{2}D^2 \\ &\quad +\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\Big(|D_t\phi_\alpha|^2 - |\vec{a}-\vec{m}_\alpha|^2|\phi_\alpha|^2 + \psi_\alpha^\dagger D_t\psi_\alpha \\ &\quad +e_\alpha\psi_\alpha^\dagger\vec{\sigma}\cdot|\vec{a}-\vec{m}_\alpha|\psi_\alpha + e_\alpha(\phi_\alpha^\dagger\chi\psi_\alpha + \phi_\alpha\chi^\dagger\psi_\alpha^\dagger)\Big)\Big], \end{aligned} \qquad (4{\cdot}9)$$

where the auxiliary field D is given by

$$D = \zeta + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} e_\alpha|\phi_\alpha|^2. \qquad (4{\cdot}10)$$

This Lagrangian is obtained through dimensional reduction from a four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=1$ gauge theory. Although a non-vanishing superpotential is not forbidden by the gauge invariance, we simply set $W=0$ here. It may be interesting to seek that wich on the supergravity side corresponds to turning on a superpotential in our quantum mechanics.

The computation of the effective action proceed in parallel to that we did in the previous section. In the small ζ limit (3·8), the wave function renormalization vanishes. The Lorentz force term in (2·30) is reproduced by the fermion 1-loop diagram. In this case, each fermion with mass $\vec{m}_\alpha$ gives a monopole at $\vec{a}=\vec{m}_\alpha$ in the $\vec{a}$-space. Summing up the contributions from all the fermions, the Lorentz force term is reproduced.

In order to show that the potential term in (2·30) is correctly reproduced, we can use the relation (3·16) instead of computing the effective potential itself. The expectation value $\langle D\rangle$, which is one-loop exact in the small ζ limit, is given by

$$\langle D\rangle = \zeta + \frac{g_{\rm qm}^2}{2}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\frac{e_\alpha}{|\vec{a}-\vec{m}_\alpha|}. \qquad (4{\cdot}11)$$

This is identical to the harmonic function V through the parameter correspondence (3·16), and thus the D-particle potential is correctly reproduced.

The angular momentum of a D-particle in a general bubbling solution is obtained as the vacuum expectation value of the SU$(2)_R$ current. In general, the background geometry itself may have non-vanishing angular momentum. It should be noted that the SU$(2)_R$ current gives only the contribution of the probe, including both angular momentum due to the classical motion of the probe and that due to the Poynting vector induced by the charge of the probe.

§5. Conclusions

We proposed a supersymmetric large N vector quantum mechanics as the theory describing a probe D-particle in bubbling supertube solutions.

A bubbling supertube solution can be regarded as a system of D6 and anti-D6 branes carrying lower-dimensional brane charges. This solution is parameterized by two parameters, $g_{\rm str}$ and ξ, for the asymptotic behavior of fields and six parameters, β_I^i and $\vec{y}_i$, for every (anti-)D6-brane. We computed the D-particle effective action in the background and showed that in the small ξ limit (4·6), the action does not depend on β_I^i. We can always tune these irrelevant parameters so that the bubble equation holds for any given positions $\vec{y}_i$ of the branes.

The quantum mechanics we propose consists of one U(1) vector multiplet and N charged chiral multiplets. Each chiral multiplet corresponds to one (anti-)D6-brane, and its U(1) charge is $+1$ for a D6-brane and -1 for an anti-D6-brane. The parameters $g_{\rm str}$, ξ, and $\vec{y}_\alpha$ of the bubbling supertube solution are mapped to the coupling constant, the FI-parameter, and the bare masses of the chiral multiplets. We showed that the D-particle effective action up to quadratic order in the velocity is correctly reproduced as the effective action of this quantum mechanics. Stability loci for the probe D-particle, which are equivalent to ergospheres in the five-dimensional solution, correspond to the quantum moduli space of this quantum mechanics.

We also showed that the angular momentum of the probe D-particle is correctly reproduced in out quantum mechanics as the one-loop expectation value of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)_R$ charge.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Y. Tachikawa for valuable discussions. This work is supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for the Encouragement of Young Scientists (#15740140) from the Japan Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology.

References

1) H. Lin, O. Lunin, J. Maldacena, JHEP **0410** (2004) 025.
2) S. Corley, A. Jevicki and S. Ramgoolam, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **5** (2002) 809.
3) D. Berenstein, JHEP **0407** (2004) 018.
4) M. M. Caldarelli and P. J. Silva, JHEP **0408** (2004) 029.
5) A. Buchel, *"Coarse-graining 1/2 BPS geometries of type IIB supergravity"*, `hep-th/0409271`.
6) N. V. Suryanarayana, *"Half-BPS Giants, Free Fermions and Microstates of Superstars"*, `hep-th/0411145`.
7) M. M. Caldarelli, D. Klemm and P. J. Silva, Class. Quant. Grav. **22** (2005) 3461.
8) V. Balasubramanian, D. Berenstein, B. Feng, M. Huang, JHEP **0503** (2005) 006.
9) M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, M. Torabian, JHEP **0504** (2005) 001.
10) G. Mandal, JHEP **0508** (2005) 052.
11) L. Grant, L. Maoz, J. Marsano, K. Papadodimas, V. S. Rychkov, JHEP **0508** (2005) 025.
12) Y. Takayama, A. Tsuchiya, JHEP **0510** (2005) 004.
13) D. Berenstein, *"Large N BPS states and emergent quantum gravity"*, `hep-th/0507203`.
14) L. Maoz, V. S. Rychkov, JHEP **0508** (2005) 096.

15) P. J. Silva, JHEP **0511** (2005) 012.
16) H. Lin, J. Maldacena, *"Fivebranes from gauge theory"*, `hep-th/0509235`.
17) D. Bak, S. Siwach, H.-U. Yee, Phys.Rev. **D72** (2005) 086010.
18) M. Spalinski, *"Some half-BPS solutions of M-theory"*, `hep-th/0506247`.
19) M. A. Ganjali, *"On Toda Equation and Half BPS Supergravity Solution in M-Theory"*, `hep-th/0511145`.
20) S. D. Mathur, Fortsch.Phys. **53** (2005) 793.
21) J. T. Liu, D. Vaman, W. Y. Wen, *"Bubbling 1/4 BPS solutions in type IIB and supergravity reductions on $S^n \times S^n$"*, `hep-th/0412043`.
22) D. Martelli, J. F. Morales, JHEP **0502** (2005) 048.
23) Z.-W. Chong, H. Lu, C.N. Pope, Phys.Lett. **B614** (2005) 96.
24) J. T. Liu, D. Vaman, *"Bubbling 1/2 BPS solutions of minimal six-dimensional supergravity"*, `hep-th/0412242`.
25) M. Boni, P. J. Silva, JHEP **0510** (2005) 070.
26) R. Emparan, H. S. Reall, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88** (2002) 101101.
27) H. Elvang, R. Emparan, D. Mateos, H. S. Reall, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** (2004) 211302.
28) H. Elvang, R. Emparan, D. Mateos, H. S. Reall, Phys.Rev. **D71** (2005) 024033.
29) J. P. Gauntlett, J. B. Gutowski, Phys.Rev. **D71** (2005) 045002.
30) J. P. Gauntlett, J. B. Gutowski, C. M. Hull, S. Pakis, H. S. Reall, Class.Quant.Grav. **20** (2003) 4587.
31) I. Bena, N. P. Warner, *"One Ring to Rule Them All ... and in the Darkness Bind Them?"*, `hep-th/0408106`.
32) I. Bena, N. P. Warner, *"Bubbling Supertubes and Foaming Black Holes"*, `hep-th/0505166`.
33) P. Berglund, E. G. Gimon and T. S. Levi, *"Supergravity microstates for BPS black holes and black rings"*, `hep-th/0505167`.
34) I. Bena, P. Kraus, N. P. Warner, Phys.Rev. **D72** (2005) 084019.
35) F. Denef, JHEP **0008** (2000) 050.
36) F. Denef, B. Greene, M. Raugas, JHEP **0105** (2001) 012.
37) B. Bates, F. Denef, *"Exact solutions for supersymmetric stationary black hole composites"*, `hep-th/0304094`.
38) F. Denef, JHEP **0210** (2002) 023.
39) K. Behrndt, G. L. Cardoso and S. Mahapatra, Nucl. Phys. **B732** (2006) 200.
40) N. Ohta and P. K. Townsend, Phys. Lett. B **418** (1998) 77.
41) B. Chen, H. Itoyama, T. Matsuo, K. Murakami, Nucl.Phys. **B576** (2000) 177.
42) M. Mihailescu, I. Y. Park and T. A. Tran, Phys. Rev. D **64** (2001) 046006
43) E. Witten, JHEP **0204** (2002) 012.
44) A. Fujii, Y. Imaizumi and N. Ohta, Nucl. Phys. B **615** (2001) 61.
45) J. M. Pierre, Phys.Rev. **D56** (1997) 6710.
46) A. Brandhuber, N. Itzhaki, J. Sonnenschein, S. Yankielowicz, Phys.Lett. **B423** (1998) 238.
47) G. Lifschytz, Nucl.Phys. **B520** (1998) 105.
48) E. Keski-Vakkuri, P. Kraus, Nucl.Phys. **B510** (1998) 199.
49) A. Dhar, G. Mandal, Nucl.Phys. **B531** (1998) 256.
50) J. Branco, Class.Quant.Grav. **15** (1998) 3739.
51) A. Dhar, Nucl.Phys. **B551** (1999) 155.
52) M. Billo', P. Di Vecchia, M. Frau, A. Lerda, R. Russo, S. Sciuto, Mod.Phys.Lett. **A13** (1998) 2977.